



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,823	04/05/2004	Takahiro Doi	018987-057	1809
21839 7590 10/09/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				
EXAMINER FACTOL, NICHOLAS C				
ART UNIT 2625		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 10/09/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/816,823

Applicant(s)

DOI ET AL.

Examiner

Nicholas C. Pachol

Art Unit

2625

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-893)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/24/08

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8, 9, and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 8-11, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimoto (US 2003/0081261) in view of Endo (US 2005/0018239).

Regarding Claim 1, Tanimoto teaches an image forming apparatus (Page 2, paragraph 28) for transmitting and receiving data to/from an external apparatus (Page 2, paragraph 29), the image forming apparatus comprising:

a communication unit that accesses the external apparatus (Page 3, paragraphs 41 and 42), and when the external apparatus stores image data addressed to the image forming apparatus, obtains the image data (Page 4, paragraph 45);

an image forming unit that performs an image forming operation according to the obtained image data (Page 5, paragraph 53);

a power-saving control unit that controls a power-saving mode to be activated or deactivated (Page 5, paragraph 53).

Tanimoto does not teach a communication control unit that determines if the power-saving mode is deactivated and controls the communication unit to make an access to the external apparatus while the power-saving mode is deactivated in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated.

Endo does teach a communication control unit that determines if the power-saving mode is deactivated and controls the communication unit to make an access to the external apparatus while the power-saving mode is deactivated in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated (Page 6, paragraphs 78-81).

Tanimoto and Endo are combinable because they both teach accessing an image forming device that may be in an idle state.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tanimoto with the teachings of Endo for the purpose of preventing undesirable execution of the reset process (Endo: Page 6, paragraph 85).

Regarding Claim 2, Tanimoto further teaches wherein the access is made when the power-saving mode gets deactivated (Page 6, paragraph 68).

Regarding Claim 3, Tanimoto further teaches wherein the access is made when an image forming operation ends (Page 6, paragraph 67).

Regarding Claim 8, Tanimoto teaches an image forming system (Figure 1 and Page 2, paragraph 29) comprising: a server for storing image data (Figure 1, element 40 and Page 2, paragraph 29); and an image forming apparatus for transmitting and receiving data to/from the server via a network (Figure 1, element 20 and Page 2, paragraphs 28 and 29), wherein

the server includes:

a storage unit that stores image data in correspondence with a network address (Page 4, paragraph 45); and

a transmission unit that, in response to a request by an external terminal, transmits image data that corresponds to a network address of the external terminal (Page 4, paragraph 45), and

the image forming apparatus includes:

a request unit that accesses the server and requests, from the server, image data addressed to the image forming apparatus (Page 5, paragraph 53);

a reception unit that receives the image data transmitted from the server (Page 5, paragraph 53);

an image forming unit that performs an image forming operation according to the received image data (Page 5, paragraph 53);

a power-saving control unit that controls a power-saving mode to be activated or deactivated (Page 3, paragraph 37).

Tanimoto does not teach a request control unit that determines if the power-saving mode is deactivated and controls the request unit to access the server while the power-saving mode is deactivated in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated.

Endo does teach a request control unit that determines if the power-saving mode is deactivated and controls the request unit to access the server while the power-saving mode is deactivated in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated (Page 6, paragraphs 78-81).

Tanimoto and Endo are combinable because they both teach accessing an image forming device that may be in an idle state.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tanimoto with the teachings of Endo for the purpose of preventing undesirable execution of the reset process (Endo: Page 6, paragraph 85).

Regarding Claim 9, Tanimoto teaches an image forming method executed in an image forming apparatus (Page 2, paragraphs 29 and 30, where there is a method to perform the stated operations), the image forming apparatus having a power-saving unit

that controls a power-saving mode to be activated or deactivated (Page 2, paragraphs 30 and 31), and being for transmitting and receiving data to/from an external apparatus (Page 2, paragraphs 30 and 31), the image forming method comprising:

when the external apparatus stores image data addressed to the image forming apparatus, obtaining the image data (Page 4, paragraph 45); and
performing an image forming operation according to the obtained image data (Page 5, paragraph 53).

Tanimoto does not teach determining if the power-saving mode is deactivated;
in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated making an access to the external apparatus while the power-saving mode is deactivated.

Endo does teach determining if the power-saving mode is deactivated (page 6, paragraph 78);

in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated making an access to the external apparatus while the power-saving mode is deactivated (Page 6, paragraphs 78-81).

Tanimoto and Endo are combinable because they both teach accessing an image forming device that may be in an idle state.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tanimoto with the teachings of Endo for the purpose of preventing undesirable execution of the reset process (Endo: Page 6, paragraph 85).

Regarding Claim 10, Tanimoto further teaches wherein the access is made when the power-saving mode gets deactivated (Page 6, paragraph 68).

Regarding Claim 11, Tanimoto further teaches wherein the access is made when an image forming operation ends (Page 6, paragraph 67).

Regarding Claim 15, Tanimoto further teaches wherein the external apparatus functions as an electronic mail server (Page 4, paragraph 45), and the image data obtained at the image-data obtaining step from the external apparatus is image data attached to electronic mail (Page 5, paragraph 51).

Regarding Claim 17, Tanimoto teaches a computer-readable recording medium that stores therein a program executed in an image forming apparatus (Page 3, paragraph 34), the image forming apparatus having a power-saving unit that controls a power-saving mode to be activated or deactivated (page 5, paragraph 53), and being for transmitting and receiving data to/from an external apparatus (Page 2, paragraphs 30 and 31), the program making the image forming apparatus perform:

when the external apparatus stores image data addressed to the image forming apparatus, obtaining the image data (Page 4, paragraph 45); and

performing an image forming operation according to the obtained image data (Page 5, paragraph 53).

Tanimoto does not teach determining if the power-saving mode is deactivated;

in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated, accessing the external apparatus while the power-saving mode is deactivated.

Endo does teach determining if the power-saving mode is deactivated (Page 6, paragraph 78);

in response to a determination that the power-saving mode is deactivated, accessing the external apparatus while the power-saving mode is deactivated (Page 6, paragraphs 78-81).

Tanimoto and Endo are combinable because they both teach accessing an image forming device that may be in an idle state.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tanimoto with the teachings of Endo for the purpose of preventing undesirable execution of the reset process (Endo: Page 6, paragraph 85).

5. Claims 4-7, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimoto (US 2003/0081261) in view of Endo (2005/0018239) further in view of Gu (US 6,744,780).

Regarding Claim 4, Tanimoto in view of Endo does not teach wherein the communication control unit further controls the communication unit to make another access to the external apparatus when a first time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus.

However, Gu does teach wherein the communication control unit further controls the communication unit to make another access to the external apparatus when a first time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus (Column 3, lines 13-20, where the polling is the access to the external apparatus).

Tanimoto in view of Endo and Gu are combinable because they all deal with communicating across a network.

Therefore it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tanimoto in view of Endo with the teachings of Gu for the purpose of decreasing the traffic activity produced by the network elements (Gu: Column 1, lines 48-51).

Regarding Claim 5, Tanimoto in view of Endo does not teach a prohibition unit that prohibits the communication unit from accessing the external apparatus, until a second time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus.

However, Gu does teach a prohibition unit that prohibits the communication unit from accessing the external apparatus, until a second time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus (Column 8, lines 30-52).

Tanimoto in view of Endo and Gu are combinable because they all deal with communicating across a network.

Therefore it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tanimoto in view of Endo with the teachings of

Gu for the purpose of decreasing the traffic activity produced by the network elements (Gu: Column 1, lines 48-51).

Regarding Claim 6, Tanimoto teaches an image forming apparatus (Page 2, paragraph 28) for transmitting and receiving data to/from an external apparatus (page 2, paragraph 29), the image forming apparatus comprising:

a communication unit that accesses the external apparatus (Page 3, paragraphs 41 and 42), and when the external apparatus stores image data addressed to the image forming apparatus, obtains the image data (Page 4, paragraph 45);

an image forming unit that performs an image forming operation according to the obtained image data (page 5, paragraph 53);

a power-saving control unit that controls a power-saving mode to be activated or deactivated (Page 5, paragraph 53).

Tanimoto does not teach a communication control unit that controls the communication unit to make an access to the external apparatus while the power-saving mode is deactivated; and

a prohibition unit that prohibits the communication unit from accessing the external apparatus, until a second time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus;

wherein the communication control unit further controls the communication unit to make another access to the external apparatus when a first time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus; and

wherein the power-saving control unit activates the power-saving mode when no image forming operation is performed for a third time period, and the second time period is shorter than the third time period.

Endo does teach a communication control unit that controls the communication unit to make an access to the external apparatus while the power- saving mode is deactivated (Page 6, paragraphs 78-81).

Tanimoto and Endo are combinable because they both teach accessing an image forming device that may be in an idle state.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tanimoto with the teachings of Endo for the purpose of preventing undesirable execution of the reset process (Endo: Page 6, paragraph 85).

Gu does teach a prohibition unit that prohibits the communication unit from accessing the external apparatus, until a second time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus (Column 8, lines 30-52);

wherein the communication control unit further controls the communication unit to make another access to the external apparatus when a first time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus (Column 3, lines 13-20, where the polling is the access to the external apparatus).

Tanimoto in view of Endo and Gu are combinable because they all deal with communicating across a network.

Therefore it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tanimoto in view of Endo with the teachings of Gu for the purpose of decreasing the traffic activity produced by the network elements (Gu: Column 1, lines 48-51).

Tanimoto in view of Endo further in view of Gu teaches wherein the power-saving control unit activates the power-saving mode when no image forming operation is performed for a third time period, and the second time period is shorter than the third time period (Tanimoto: Page 5, paragraph 67, where since the user can set up the time for warming up to improve the energy saving, the user can set the time intervals so that the second time period, taught in Gu, is shorter than the third time period).

Regarding Claim 7, Tanimoto further teaches wherein the external apparatus functions as an electronic mail server, and the image data that the communication unit obtains from the external apparatus is image data attached to electronic mail (Page 5, paragraph 51).

Regarding Claim 12, Tanimoto in view of Endo does not teach wherein in the image-data obtaining step, another access to the external apparatus is performed when a first time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus.

However, Gu does teach wherein in the image-data obtaining step, another access to the external apparatus is performed when a first time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus (Column 3, lines 13-20).

Tanimoto in view of Endo and Gu are combinable because they all deal with communicating across a network.

Therefore it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tanimoto in view of Endo with the teachings of Gu for the purpose of decreasing the traffic activity produced by the network elements (Gu: Column 1, lines 48-51).

Regarding Claim 13, Tanimoto in view of Endo does not teach wherein the image-data obtaining step includes an access prohibition substep of prohibiting an access to the external apparatus, until a second time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus.

Gu does teach wherein the image-data obtaining step includes an access prohibition substep of prohibiting an access to the external apparatus, until a second time period has passed after a last access to the external apparatus (Column 8, lines 30-52).

Tanimoto in view of Endo and Gu are combinable because they all deal with communicating across a network.

Therefore it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tanimoto in view of Endo with the teachings of Gu for the purpose of decreasing the traffic activity produced by the network elements (Gu: Column 1, lines 48-51).

Regarding Claim 14, Tanimoto further teaches wherein the power-saving control unit activates the power-saving mode when no image forming operation is performed for a third time period, and the second time period is shorter than the third time period (Page 5, paragraph 67, where since the user can set up the time for warming up to improve the energy saving, the user can set the time intervals so that the second time period, taught in Gu, is shorter than the third time period).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas C. Pachol whose telephone number is 571-270-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thr, 8:00 a.m.- 4:00 p.m. (EST), Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler L. Haskins can be reached on 571-272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

N.P.
09/30/08
/Edward L. Coles/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625